From: HREIF --VCH0021A Date and time 26.05.93 11:46:11
To: PMAGLION--VCH0021A P. Maglione

From: Helmut Reif
To: Paul MAGLIONE
Subject: Smoker Issues - An Analytical Framework.
Dear Paul,
On top of the assessment done by Mitch I want to raise some points with you:
1. The focussing points in the chapter on the Primary Issue should be put into the form of questions. Points 2 & 3 should be either skipped or strongly weakened ("inability" goes too far).
2. The last focussing point on the Secondary Issue leaves me with the impression that somebody lied intentionally in the EPA report ("mysteriously..."). This should be avoided. One could say that (a) They changed the rules of the game amidst the game, or (b) Recent assessment studies have a different opinion, or the like...

By the way, I like the building of the three stages of war. However, the whole thing has the character of a lecture. Perhaps one could make it more like an "Question & Answer" session by including the audience ("Would you think that smoking prohibition in a stadium were acceptable?" "Don't you think that this nannyism goes too far?" etc.)

Regards,
Helmut

cc: AANDRADE--VCH0021A Anthony Andrade MRITTER --VCH0021A Mitchel Ritter
SMURRAY --VCH0021A Sean Murray

2501234365

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/nmlx0000